

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

0

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/594,359 | 07/23/2007 | Mats Backman | KADZ 200050 | 4651 |
| 27885 7590 01/17/2008 FAY SHARPE LLP 1 100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LU, C CAIXIA | |
| CLEVELAND | O, OH 44114 | ART UNIT PAPER NUME | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1796 | |
| | | | | • |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/17/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commons | 10/594,-359 | BACKMAN-ETIAL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Caixia Lu | 1796 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be the start of the same apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON | N. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | -· action is non-final. | | | | | |
| , <u> </u> | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-22</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>——</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-22</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 11-22</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement | | | | | |
| • - | cicollori requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | • | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | on is required if the drawing(s) is ol | bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | e Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Conice of the partition conice of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| | | - J | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | or the certified copies not receive | ea. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | • | | | | |
| 2) U Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/30/06</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number:

10/594,359 Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 and 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Backman et al. (US 6,441,096).

Backman disclosed a multimodal ethylene polymer composition having a density of 0.930-0.965, a weight ratio of LMW/HMW of (43-51):(57-49), MFR₅ of 0.1-1.0 g/10 min, a dynamic viscosity at a shear stress of 2.7kPa of 260-450 kPa's, a shear thinning index (SHI)of 50-150, and a pressure pipe thereof (col. 2, lines 38-62; cols. 15-16, Examples 2 and 3; and col. 18, claims 1-10). Backman's ethylene polymer composition and pipe encompass the ethylene polymer composition and pipe of the instant claims.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to employ Backman's teaching to provide ethylene polymer compositions and pipes thereof because such is within the generic disclosure of the reference and all of the embodiments of the reference are expected to work and in the absence of any showing of criticality and unexpected results.

Conclusion

3. Prior art, Zhou et al. (US 2004/0034169 A1), made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner